

P6/b(6)

Sent: Thu, 06 Aug 2009 14:46:31 -0400
From: Jim Benham [REDACTED]
To: FN-WHO-Flag <flag@whitehouse.gov>, FN-WHO-Flag <"/o=eop/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=flag">
Bcc: FN-WHO-Flag <"/o=eop/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=flag">
Subject: Rahm's Brother

Dr. Ezekiel Emanuel, brother of Rahm Emanuel and Obama's Health Policy Adviser, announced a new "Complete Lives System" for selecting which sections of the population should be killed, in his article "**Principles for Allocation of Scarce Medical Interventions**." His writings were published Jan. 31, 2009 in the British medical journal **Lancet**... 11 days after President Obama's inauguration. On March 19, Emanuel was appointed to the **Federal Coordinating Council on Comparative Effectiveness Research**, to begin the design of a Federal system for withdrawing care from those chosen for death. Dr. Emanuel provides a preview of how such a system will get started...

"Such an approach accepts a two-tiered health system-some citizens will receive only basic services while others will receive both basic and some discretionary health services... Substantively, it suggests services that promote the continuation of the polity-those that ensure healthy future generations, ensure development of practical reasoning skills, and ensure full and active participation by citizens in public deliberations-are to be socially guaranteed as basic. Conversely, services provided to individuals who are irreversibly prevented from being or becoming participating citizens are not basic and should not be guaranteed. An obvious example is not guaranteeing health services to patients with dementia."

Emanuel's cold, academic language can't disguise his philosophies' resemblances to Adolf Hitler's. Here's how Emanuel sums up who is to be treated, and who is to die:

"When implemented, the complete lives system produces a priority curve on which individuals aged between roughly 15 and 40 years get the most substantial chance, whereas the youngest and oldest people get chances that are attenuated." This may be justified by public opinion, since "broad consensus favours adolescents over very young infants, and young adults over very elderly people."

Of course, the elderly won't be the only ones killed. Infants are at the top of his list as well.

"Strict youngest-first allocation directs scarce resources predominantly to infants. This approach seems incorrect. The death of a 20-year-old woman is intuitively worse than that of a 2-month-old girl, even though the baby has had less life. The 20-year-old has a much more developed personality than the infant, and has drawn upon the investment of others to begin as-yet-unfulfilled projects.... Adolescents have received substantial substantial education and parental care, investments that will be wasted without a complete life. Infants, by contrast, have not yet received these investments.... It is terrible when an infant dies, but worse, most people think, when a three-year-old child dies, and worse still when an adolescent does."

Dr. Death doesn't spare older children:

"The 'complete lives' system also considers prognosis, since its aim is to achieve complete lives. A young person with a poor prognosis has had few life-years but lacks the potential to

live a complete life. Considering prognosis forestalls the concern that disproportionately large amounts of resources will be directed to young people with poor prognoses.”

Emanuel the “reformer” assures us that unlike other death-selection systems, “the complete lives system is least vulnerable to corruption. Age can be established quickly and accurately from identity documents.” So, our National Eugenics system, paired with our National Health-Care system will be free of corruption?!! I have some oceanfront property in Arizona for sale... Even more data-points go into the calculation on whether you are worthy to live. It’s very important to Dr. Emanuel that you be politically correct, so future generations of Obamabots are assured:

“Social Value Allocation” prioritizes specific individuals to enable them to promote other important values, or rewards them for having promoted these values. In view of the multiplicity of reasonable values in society and in view of what is at stake, **social value allocation must not legislate socially conventional, mainstream values.**”

Your job will figure into Dr. Death’s calculations as well:

“Allocators must also avoid directing interventions earmarked for health needs to those not relevant to the health problem at hand, which covertly exacerbates scarcity. For instance, funeral directors might be essential to preserving health in an influenza pandemic, but not during a shortage of intensive-care beds. For instance, former organ donors seem to deserve reciprocity since they make a serious sacrifice and since there is no surplus of organ donors. By contrast, laboratory staff who serve as vaccine production workers do not incur serious risk nor are they irreplaceable, so reciprocity seems less appropriate for them.”

Oh, I think funeral directors will be in BIG demand under Obamacare and “Complete Lives.” Don’t get me started on [Obama’s “Science Czar”, John Holdren](#). Besides advocating forced abortions and sterilizations- he doesn’t consider you a human even AFTER you are born...you must be “properly fed and socialized” before you win that prize.

Our legislators are coming home for an August break from all the hard work they do on our behalf. **It is our job to see that it is the hottest ‘effin August they have ever seen.** Wear their asses OUT. Go to their town-hall meetings, and if they aren’t holding any...shame them into doing so. Call, call, call. Write, write, write.[You can find their home office contact info here](#). Protest outside their offices. We have to stop this horrific insanity.

This content is copyrighted by [Right Soup](#).